Message

From: Dan Johnson [DanJohnson@florencecopper.com]
Sent: 11/2/2018 7:36:48 PM
To: Rumrill, Nancy [Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov]
CC: Albright, David [Albright.David@epa.gov]; Maribeth E. Greenslade [Greenslade.Maribeth@azdeq.gov]
Subject: RE: MIT Testing in Equipped Injection Wells
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

Hi Nancy,

The packer is placed so that injection can be targeted to the lower two injection zones. This is intended to be the permanent configuration for the duration of the PTF. At this time the well performance does not indicate that moving the packer up is necessary to achieve the target flow rates.

If the packer was moved up to approximately 500 feet, above the top of the screened intervals, it would be possible to run a SAPT. This would require disassembly of the entire wellhead and a contractor to re-configure the set depths for testing, and then re-setting the packer back to the original position.

Best Regards,

Dan Johnson VP | General Manager

Florence Copper Inc.
1575 W. Hunt Highway Florence AZ USA 85132
C 520-233-1930 T 520-374-3984 F 520-374-3999
E danjohnson@florencecopper.com **Web** florencecopper.com

From: Rumrill, Nancy [mailto:Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov]
Sent: November-02-18 11:01 AM
To: Dan Johnson
Cc: Albright, David; Maribeth E. Greenslade
Subject: RE: MIT Testing in Equipped Injection Wells

Hi Dan,
We understand why the standard annular pressure test (SAPT) cannot be performed with the as -built injection well configuration, but why is the packer set below the uppermost screened interval? Is this a permanent configuration or temporary depending on the option to inject only in selected screened intervals? If the packer is installed above all screened intervals, a SAPT can be performed. Please provide clarification.
Thanks, Nancy

Nancy Rumrill (rumrill.nancy@epa.gov)
Drinking Water Protection Section (WTR-3-2)

US EPA, Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone (415) 972-3293

From: Dan Johnson <DanJohnson@florencecopper.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 5:46 PM
To: Rumrill, Nancy <Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>; Maribeth E. Greenslade <Greenslade.Maribeth@azdeq.gov>
Subject: MIT Testing in Equipped Injection Wells

Hi Nancy,

Per our earlier conversation, below is a description on why Mechanical Integrity Testing (MIT) cannot be conducted on equipped Injection Wells:

MIT testing was conducted on the fiberglass casing prior to the installation of the grout seal, per the fiberglass casing manufacturers recommendations, and once again after cement grouting and well development, per the requirements of the UIC permit. This procedure was completed on every fiberglass cased well (Injection, Recovery, WestBay, and Observation wells).

Once the injection wells were tested, they were equipped with injection piping, packers, sounding tubes, and transducers. The packer was placed in the first 316L stainless steel blank interval at approximately 650 feet below land surface in each injection well. The upper screened interval starts at approximately 520 feet and extends to approximately 640 feet. There is no physical way to isolate the blank casing between 520 feet and land surface with the current equipment configuration, because solutions would pass into the upper screened interval between 520 and 640 feet.

Please let me know if there are any further questions about this description.

Best Regards,

Dan Johnson VP | General Manager

Florence Copper Inc.
1575 W. Hunt Highway Florence AZ USA 85132
C 520-233-1930 **T** 520-374-3984 **F** 520-374-3999
E danjohnson@florencecopper.com **Web** florencecopper.com

telephone (778-373-4533) or by return e-mail and destroy all copies of this communication. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Taseko Mines Limited or any affiliated or associated company. The recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Neither Taseko Mines Limited nor any affiliated or associated company accepts any liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. Thank you."

"Notice Regarding Transmission

This message is intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is prohibited. Please notify us of the error in communication by telephone (778-373-4533) or by return e-mail and destroy all copies of this communication. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Taseko Mines Limited or any affiliated or associated company. The recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Neither Taseko Mines Limited nor any affiliated or associated company accepts any liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. Thank you."